UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,086	09/22/2003	Ki Mun Kim	110989-0009	1266
	7590 10/13/200 MAN HAM & BERN	EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGON.		CHEEMA, UMAR		
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/668,086	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
UMAR CHEEMA	2444		

	UMAR CHEEMA	2444	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	cowable if submitted in a separate, t will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (In the continuation of the continuation of		condition for allowah	ce pecause:
13. Other:	1 10/36/00) Fapel 190(3).		
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that none of the cited references teach or suggest selecting selecting one of a DBM-based method and TCP/IP-based method, wherein said MS communicates said location information of said MS with said PDE via data brust messages in said DBM-based method, wherein said MS communicates said location information of said PDE via a TCP/P network in said TCP/IP-based method as claimed in claim 1 and similarily in claim 16. Examiner disagree with Applicant's argument for at least given reason: Meadow-Lim discloses selecting one of a DBM-based method and a TCP/IPbased method, wherein said MS communicates said location information of said MS with said PDE via data burst messages in said DBMbased method, wherein said MS communicates said location information of said MS with said PDE via a TCP/IP network in said TCP/IPbased [see Meadow: col. 2, lines 1-15, col. 4, lines 18-39 and Lim: col. 3; detail description of the preferred embodiments; figures 1-2: lines 25-35, 45-66; data exchange by short message peer to peer protocol (SMPP) based on TCP/IP and between the CLC and SMSC; col. 3, lines 32-35, 45-49, TCP/IP and Data Burst msgs etc.]. Likewise Sheynblat discloses selecting one of a DBM-based method and a TCP/IP-based method, wherein said MS communicates said location information of said MS with said PDE via data burst messages in said DBM-based method, wherein said MS communicates said location information of said MS with said PDE via a TCP/IP network in said TCP/IP-based [see Sheynblat: abstract, figures 2A-B, 3, 7-10 and the details related to figures, col. 4, line 32-col. 5, line 23; location-based information (i.e., information specific to a client's location or a location of interest to the client) to a client, which may be a mobile SPS receiver, via the Internet and in particular, the World-Wide Web; a system for exchanging location-based information via a computer network, such as the Internet, according to one embodiment of the invention. A system 400 is shown, in which a location-based information Web server 404 is part of the Internet 402. The Internet generally represents a network of networks, and may include various types of data communication media (wires, wireless, cellular, etc.), switching devices, routing devices, network computers/servers, client computer systems, local area networks (LANs), wide area networks (WANs), etc. Such networks may use a variety of protocols to regulate the exchange of information, such as TCP/IP, ATM, etc. Internet access is typically granted to client computer systems by Internet service providers (ISPs). Access to the Internet may facilitate transfer of various types of information (e.g., email, data files, programs, media, etc.) between two or more digital processing systems, see detailed in figure 9]. Thus it is Examiner's position that the combination of Meadow-Lim-Shevnblat discloses the invention as claimed in claims 1, 16 and all their dependent claims.